

### REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above identified patent application are hereby requested. Claims 11-17, 49, 50, and 59-76 are now in the application with claims 11, 59, and 68 being independent. Claims 9, 18, 19, 29, 47, 51, and 53 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claim 68 has been amended to correct a typographical error. No new matter has been added. The Office's rejections are respectfully traversed.

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

The Office (Action of September 29, 2008 at page 8) states that claims 11-18, 49, 50, and 59-76 are allowed. A formal notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Further, the Office (*Id.*) states that these claims are allowable because the proposed combination fails to teach or suggest the claimed subject matter, "specifically a shadow file keys/values manager having an input operatively coupled for receiving in a web authoring tool the information related to the file and not contained in the file." It is noted that the claimed subject matter also is believed allowable for other reasons.

### **Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

Claims 9, 19, and 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,625,619 to McClendon in view of *A Generalized Online Delivery Paradigm for XML Information* by Lecluse. Further, claims 47, 51, and 53 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over McClendon in view of Lecluse and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,864,865 to Lakis.

Applicant : Sho Kuwamoto, et al.  
Serial No. : 09/991,766  
Filed : November 23, 2001  
Page : 9 of 9

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-0729001 / M144

Claims 9, 19, 29, 47, 51, and 53 have been canceled and thus the rejections of these claims have been obviated.

### **Concluding Comments**

The foregoing comments made with respect to the positions taken by the Examiner are not to be construed as acquiescence with other positions of the Examiner that have not been explicitly contested. Accordingly, the above arguments for patentability of a claim should not be construed as implying that there are not other valid reasons for patentability of that claim or other claims.

In view of the above remarks, claims 11-17, 49, 50, and 59-76 are in condition for allowance, and a formal notice of allowance is respectfully requested. Please apply any necessary charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Dec. 1, 2008

William E. Hunter  
William E. Hunter  
Reg. No. 47,671

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
PTO Customer No. 21876  
Telephone: (858) 678-5070  
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945  
10878908.doc